Weather-climate anomalies in Russian regions: El Niño-associated predictability

Igor I. Mokhov and Alexandr V. Timazhev

A.M. Obukhov Institute of Atmospheric Physics RAS mokhov@ifaran.ru

Predictability for weather-climate anomalies in the Russian regions for different El Niño / Southern Oscillation (ENSO) phase transitions is assessed. In particular, probabilities of regional spring-summer (May-July) anomalies for surface air temperature (δT) , precipitation (δP) and also drought (*D*) and excessive moisture (*M*) indices for European (ER) and Asian (AR) Russian regions in mid-latitudes from long-term data (1891-2015) [1] are estimated. ENSO dynamics is characterized by the sea surface temperature (SST) anomalies in different equatorial regions of the Pacific Ocean (Nino 3, Nino 3.4 and Nino 4). Different phase transitions (with total number *n*) between El-Nino (*E*), La-Nina (*L*) and neutral phase (*N*) are defined here similar to [2,3].

The beginning of 2016 was characterized by strong El Nino. According to early-April CPC/IRI official probabilistic ENSO forecast on the basis of ensemble model simulations the probability of the *E*-phase continuation to the end of this year is less than 5%. The corresponding probability for *N*-phase is about $\frac{1}{4}$, while it is larger than 70% for transition to *L*- phase.

T-1-1-1

| <i>δΤ</i> , K ER | | Nino 3 <i>n</i> =28 | | | Nino 3.4 <i>n</i> =36 | | | Nino 4 <i>n</i> =29 | | |
|---------------------|------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | $E \rightarrow E$ n=4 | $E \rightarrow L$ n=9 | $E \rightarrow N$ n=15 | $E \rightarrow E$ n=9 | $E \rightarrow L$ n=12 | $E \rightarrow N$ n=15 | $E \rightarrow E$ n=8 | $E \rightarrow L$ n=8 | $E \rightarrow N$ n=13 |
| >0 | >0 | 3/4 | 8/9 | 6/15 | 5/9 | 10/12 | 6/15 | 3/8 | 7/8 | 7/13 |
| | >1K | 1/4 | 5/9 | 2/15 | 1/9 | 5/12 | 3/15 | 2/8 | 4/8 | 4/13 |
| ≤0 | ≤0 | 1/4 | 1/9 | 9/15 | 4/9 | 2/12 | 9/15 | 5/8 | 1/8 | 6/13 |
| | ≤-1K | 0/4 | 0/9 | 5/15 | 3/9 | 0/12 | 4/15 | 3/8 | 0/8 | 4/13 |

Table 1 shows the estimates for probability of spring-summer temperature anomalies δT in the ER for different transitions from the *E*-phase at the beginning of the year with the use different index. According to Table 1 the $E \rightarrow L$ transition expected

in 2016 is characterized by the highest probability (up to 5/9) for extremely high temperature with $\delta T > 1$ K in spring-summer months for ER similar to 2010. The drought risk (for $D \ge 20\%$) for $E \rightarrow L$ transition is high, with probability estimate up to 6/9. Corresponding risk of severe drought (with $D \ge 30\%$) is characterized by probability estimate up to 4/9.

| δ <i>T</i> , K AR | | Nino 3 <i>n</i> =28 | | | Nino 3.4 <i>n</i> =36 | | | Nino 4 <i>n</i> =29 | | |
|----------------------|------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | $E \rightarrow E$ n=4 | $E \rightarrow L$ n=9 | $E \rightarrow N$ n=15 | $E \rightarrow E$ n=9 | $E \rightarrow L$ n=12 | $E \rightarrow N$ n=15 | $E \rightarrow E$ n=8 | $E \rightarrow L$ n=8 | $E \rightarrow N$ n=13 |
| >0 | >0 | 2/4 | 4/9 | 6/15 | 5/9 | 8/12 | 7/15 | 6/8 | 5/8 | 5/13 |
| | >1K | 2/4 | 1/9 | 4/15 | 4/9 | 1/12 | 4/15 | 6/8 | 1/8 | 2/13 |
| ≤0 | ≤0 | 2/4 | 5/9 | 9/15 | 4/9 | 4/12 | 8/15 | 2/8 | 3/8 | 8/13 |
| | ≤-1K | 1/4 | 2/9 | 4/15 | 3/9 | 2/12 | 2/15 | 1/8 | 1/8 | 3/13 |

| Table | 2 |
|-------|---|
|-------|---|

Table 2 shows similar estimates for probability of spring–summer temperature anomalies δT in the AR. According to Table 2 the $E \rightarrow L$ transition expected in 2016 is characterized by the low probability (about 1/8 or less) for extremely high temperature with $\delta T > 1$ K (and with $D \ge 20\%$) in spring-summer months for AR.

This work was supported by the RFBR and RAS. Drought conditions associated with blockings were analyzed in the framework of the RSF project (14-17-00806).

References

- 1. Meshcherskaya A.V., V.M. Mirvis and M.P. Golod (2011) The drought in 2010 against the background of multiannual changes in aridity in the major grain-producing regions of the European part of Russia. *Tr. MGO*, **563**, 94–121 (in Russian)
- 2. Mokhov I.I. and A.V. Timazhev (2013) Climatic anomalies in Eurasia from El-Nino/La-Nina effects. *Doklady Earth Sci.*, **453**(1), 1141-1144.
- 3. Mokhov I.I. and A.V. Timazhev (2015) Assessment of the predictability of climate anomalies in connection with El Nino phenomena climatic anomalies in Eurasia from El-Nino/La-Nina effects. *Doklady Earth Sci.*, **464**(2), 1089-1093.